



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

09/542,503

04/03/2000

Hordgren

EXAMINER

C. Williams

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3763

6

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Kent S. Birmingham (3) _____
(2) Catherine Williams (4) _____

Date of Interview 4/20/03

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 40

Identification of prior art discussed: Selected prior art from the entirety of prior art filed in the case.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's rep presented background of the invention and problems solved by the invention. A general overview of the prior art was discussed (selected prior art). Specifically, the Nadal patent (1/903) was reviewed regarding claim 40. The 1.608(b) submission was discussed with regard to when to submit prima facie case of interference during the overall timeline of prosecution. A Election/Pg will be sent out to begin the course of prosecution. App's rep. will file a copy of IDS dated 4/18/01 (which is presently attached). (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has been ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

[Signature]